

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

Michael Angelo Riddick,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Civil Action No. 8:05-2182-RBH-BHH
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	<b><u>REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION</u></b>
	)	<b><u>OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE</u></b>
Sheriff Leon Lott, Corporal Howard Austin and Richland County Sheriff's Department,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

The plaintiff brought this action seeking relief pursuant to Title 42, United States Code, Section 1983. On April 23, 2007, the defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. On April 24, 2007, pursuant to *Roseboro v. Garrison*, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), the plaintiff was advised of the summary judgement procedure and the possible consequences if he failed to respond adequately. Despite this explanation, the plaintiff elected not to respond to the motion.

As the plaintiff is proceeding *pro se*, the court filed a second order on June 11, 2007, giving the plaintiff through July 5, 2007, to file his response to the motion for summary judgment. The plaintiff was specifically advised that if he failed to respond, this action would be dismissed for failure to prosecute. The plaintiff elected not to respond.

Based on the foregoing, it appears the plaintiff no longer wishes to pursue this action. Accordingly, it is recommended that this action be dismissed for lack of prosecution pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the factors outlined in *Chandler Leasing Corp. v. Lopez*, 669 F.2d 919, 920 (4th Cir.1982). See *Ballard v. Carlson*, 882 F.2d 93 (4th Cir. 1989).

s/Bruce H. Hendricks  
United States Magistrate Judge

July 18, 2007  
Greenville, South Carolina